

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			·			
APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/612,846 07/03/2003		7/03/2003	Dung-Chang Yeh	JLINP160	6918	
25920	7590	10/01/2004		EXAMINER		
MARTINE		•	LE, DANG D			
710 LAKEV SUITE 170	WAY DRIV	E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUNNYVA	LE, CA 9	4085	2834			
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T							
,		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/612,84	6	YEH ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dang D Le		2834					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	7 September 2	<u>2004</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ ⁻	This action is n	on-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.			√.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

-

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 8-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/7/04.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the embossing pattern on the second surface claimed in claim 7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of

Application/Control Number: 10/612,846 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishizuka (6,172,439), Suzuki et al. (5,777,415), Teshima et al. (5,783,880).

Regarding claim 1, Ishizuka shows a motor rotor including a magnetic yoke (8) and a rubber magnet (9) provided in the magnetic yoke, wherein the motor rotor is characterized in that a first surface (92) of the rubber magnet facing the magnetic yoke has at least one first pattern (10).

Suzuki et al. and Teshima et al. also show all of the limitations of the claimed invention in Figure 2 and Figure 3, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,846 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu (6,140,736) in view of Horng (6,362,551).

Regarding claim 1, Hsu shows a motor rotor including a magnetic yoke (31) and a magnet (30) provided in the magnetic yoke, wherein the motor rotor is characterized in that a first surface of the magnet facing the magnetic yoke has at least one first pattern (303).

Hsu does not show the magnet being rubber.

Horng uses rubber magnet (3) for the purpose of reducing manufacturing cost.

Since Hsu and Horng are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use rubber magnet as taught by Horng for the purpose discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,846

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claims 2-6, it is noted that Hsu and Horng also show all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu (6,140,736) in view of Horng (6,362,551) and further in view of Couture et al. (5,753,911).

Regarding claim 7, the motor of Hsu modified by Horng includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the second surface being an embossing pattern.

Couture et al. discloses an embossing pattern for the purpose of concentrating magnetic flux.

Since Hsu, Horng and Couture et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the second surface with an embossing pattern as taught by Couture et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/612,846

Art Unit: 2834

Page 6

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9/25/04

DANG LE

DEMARY EXAMINER

Dang L. L